arXiv:1408.2252v1 [math.CA] 10 Aug 2014

BIVARIATE LOG-CONVEXITY OF THE MORE EXTENDED MEANS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

ZHEN-HANG YANG

Abstract. In this paper, the bivariate log-convexity of the two-parameter homogeneous function in parameter pair is vestigated. From this the bivariate log-convexity of the more extended means with respect to a parameter pair is solved. It follows that Stolarsky means, Gini means, two-parameter identric (exponential) means and two-parameter Heronian means are all bivariate log-concave on $[0,\infty)^2$ and log-convex on $(-\infty,0]^2$ with respect to parameters. Lastly, some classical and new inequalities for means are given.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper we denote by $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty,0)$ and $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty,\infty)$.

For $a,b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $a \neq b$ the Stolarsky means $S_{p,q}(a,b)$ were defined by Stolarsky [34] as

$$S_{p,q}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{q(a^p-b^p)}{p(a^q-b^q)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} & \text{if } p \neq q, pq \neq 0, \\ \left(\dfrac{a^p-b^p}{p(\ln a - \ln b)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \neq 0, q = 0, \\ \left(\dfrac{a^q-b^q}{q(\ln a - \ln b)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } p = 0, q \neq 0, \\ \exp\left(\dfrac{a^p \ln a - b^p \ln b}{a^p - b^p} - \dfrac{1}{p}\right) & \text{if } p = q \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab} & \text{if } p = q = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Also, $S_{p,q}(a,a) = a$. The Stolarsky means contain many famous means, for example, $S_{1,0}(a,b) = L(a,b)$ –the logarithmic mean, $S_{1,1}(a,b) = I(a,b)$ –the identric (exponential) mean, $S_{2,1}(a,b) = A(a,b)$ –arithmetic mean, $S_{3/2,1/2}(a,b) = He(a,b)$ –Heronian mean, $S_{2p,p}(a,b) = A^{1/p}(a^p,b^p) = A_p$ –the p-order power mean, $S_{p,0}(a,b) = L^{1/p}(a^p,b^p) = L_p$ –the p-order logarithmic mean, $S_{p,p}(a,b) = I^{1/p}(a^p,b^p) = I_p$ –the p-order identric (exponential) mean, etc.

Stolarsky means are also called "extended means" [12], or "difference means" [21], and belong to the "two-parameter family of bivariate means".

Another well-known two-parameter family of bivariate means was introduced by C. Gini in [9]. That is defined as

Date: March 28, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26B25, 26D07; Secondary 26E60,26A48.

Key words and phrases. Stolarsky means, Gini means, More extended means, Two-parameter homogeneous function, bivariate log-convexity, inequality.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

$$G_{p,q}(a,b)=\begin{cases}\left(\dfrac{a^p+b^p}{a^q+b^q}\right)^{1/(p-q)} & \text{if } p\neq q,\\ \exp\left(\dfrac{a^p\ln a+b^p\ln b}{a^p+b^p}\right) & \text{if } p=q.\end{cases}\tag{1.2}$$

The Gini means also contain many famous means, for example, $G_{1,0}(a,b)=A(a,b)$ –arithmetic mean, $G_{1,1}(a,b)=Z(a,b)$ –the power-exponential mean, $G_{p,0}(a,b)=A^{1/p}(a^p,b^p)=A_p$ –the p-order power mean, $G_{p,p}(a,b)=Z^{1/p}(a^p,b^p)=Z_p$ –the p-order power-exponential mean, etc.

It is clear that Stolarsky and Gini means both have the form of $\left(\frac{f(a^p,b^p)}{f(a^q,b^q)}\right)^{1(p-q)}$, where f is a positive homogeneous function and $p\neq q$. A function in this form is called a "two-parameter homogeneous function generated by f" by Yang [41] and denote by $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$. Furthermore, if it is a mean of positive numbers a and b for every p,q, then all these means are members of "two-parameter family of bivariate means" and, for short, "two-parameter f-means".

Substituting $f=S_{r,s}(x,y)$ into $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$, Yang [40, 43] defined a class of more general two-parameter means without trying to prove it, that is, "four-parameter homogeneous means" denote by $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$. Witkowski later proved that $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are means of positive numbers a and b in [37, 6.4]. For sake of statement, we recall the four-parameter means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([43, 36])**.** *Let $(p,q),(r,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2,(a,b)\in\mathbb{R}_+$. Then $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are called four-parameter means if for $a\neq b$*

(1.3)

$$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)=\left(\frac{L(a^{pr},b^{pr})}{L(a^{ps},b^{ps})}\frac{L(a^{qs},b^{qs})}{L(a^{qr},b^{qr})}\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-q)(r-s)}}\quad \textit{if } pqrs(p-q)(r-s)\neq 0,$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)=\left(\frac{a^{pr}-b^{pr}}{a^{ps}-b^{ps}}\frac{a^{qs}-b^{qs}}{a^{qr}-b^{qr}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-q)(r-s)}}\quad \textit{if } pqrs(p-q)(r-s)\neq 0;\tag{1.4}$$

$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are defined as their corresponding limits if $pqrs(p-q)(r-s)=0$, for example:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{F}(p,p;r,s;a,b) &= \lim_{q\to p}\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)=\left(\frac{I(a^{pr},b^{pr})}{I(a^{ps},b^{ps})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(r-s)}}\quad \textit{if } prs(r-s)\neq 0, p=q,\\ \boldsymbol{F}(p,0;r,s;a,b) &= \lim_{q\to 0}\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)=\left(\frac{L(a^{pr},b^{pr})}{L(a^{ps},b^{ps})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(r-s)}}\quad \textit{if } prs(r-s)\neq 0, q=0,\\ \boldsymbol{F}(0,0;r,s;a,b) &= \lim_{p\to 0}\boldsymbol{F}(p,0;r,s;a,b)=G(a,b)\ \textit{if } rs(r-s)\neq 0, p=q=0,\end{aligned}$$

where $L(x,y),I(x,y)$ denote logarithmic mean and identric (exponential) mean, respectively, $G(a,b)=\sqrt{ab}$. And, $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,a)=a$.

As has been mentioned above, the four-parameter homogeneous means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are generated by Stolarsky means or extended means, and clearly contain many two-parameter means, for instance (see [43, Table 1]),
$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;1,0;a,b)=S_{p,q}(a,b)$ –two-parameter logarithmic means, that is, Stolarsky means;
$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;2,1;a,b)=G_{p,q}(a,b)$ –two-parameter arithmetic means, that is, Gini means;

$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;1,1;a,b) = I_{p,q}(a,b)$ –two-parameter identric (exponential) means, where $I_{p,q}(a,b)$ is defined as

$$I_{p,q}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{I(a^p,b^p)}{I(a^q,b^q)}\right)^{1/(p-q)} & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ Y^{1/p}(a^p,b^p) & \text{if } p = q, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

here $Y(a,b) = Ie^{1-G^2/L^2}, I, L, G$ are identric (exponential), logarithmic, geometric mean, respectively;
$\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;3/2,1/2;a,b) = He_{p,q}(a,b)$ –two-parameter Heronian means, where $He_{p,q}(a,b)$ is defined as

$$He_{p,q}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{a^p+(\sqrt{ab})^p+b^p}{a^q+(\sqrt{ab})^q+b^q}\right)^{1/(p-q)} & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ a^{\frac{a^p+(\sqrt{ab})^{p/2}}{a^p+(\sqrt{ab})^p+b^p}} b^{\frac{(\sqrt{ab})^{p/2}+b^p}{a^p+(\sqrt{ab})^p+b^p}} & \text{if } p = q, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

etc. Hence, we prefer to call the four-parameter homogeneous means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ as "more extended means" in what follows.

Study of two-parameter means containing Stolarsky and Gini means is interesting and has attracted the attention of a considerable number of mathematicians.

The monotonicity of $S_{p,q}(a,b)$ with respect to parameter p and q was verified by Leach and Sholander [12]. Qi ([10] [25] [24] [28] [27] [26]) [29]) has also researched by using different ideas and simpler methods. Gini [9] showed $G_{p,q}(a,b)$ increases with either p or q (see also [8]). Yang [41] gave two unified discriminants for monotonicities of the two-parameter homogeneous functions $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ and obtained the same monotonicity results again. Moreover, Yang [43] has proved that the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are strictly increasing (decreasing) in either p or q if $r+s > (<)0$.

The comparison problem for Stolarsky means $S_{p,q}(a,b) \leq S_{r,s}(a,b)$ $(a,b \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ was solved by Leach and Sholander [13]. Páles [21] presented a new proof for this result. In [22] Páles solved the same comparison problem on any subinterval (α,β) of $\mathbb{R}_+$. The same comparison problem of Gini means $G_{p,q}(a,b)$ was dealt with by Páles [20, 23]. Applying unified comparison theorem given in [22], a more generalized comparison problem for more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b) \leq \boldsymbol{F}(u,v;r,s;a,b)$ $(a,b \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ has been solved by Witkowski recently (see [36]). Other references involving these comparison problems can be found in [7], [3], [1], [2], [22], [17], [19], [6]

Losonczi and Páles [15, 16] established the Minkowski inequalities for the Stolarsky in 1996 and Gini means in 1998, respectively. Since symmetry of the two classes of means, and so they perfectly dealt with the bivariate convexities with respect to (a,b) actually. The Hölder type inequality, that is, geometrical convexity for Stolarsky means $S_{p,q}(a,b)$ was proved by Yang [39, 44].

For the univariate log-convexity of Stolarsky means with respect to parameters, Qi first proved that

Theorem Q ([30, Theorem 1])**.** *If $p,q \geq 0$, then $S_{p,q}(a,b)$ are log-concave in both p and q. If $p,q \leq 0$, then $S_{p,q}(a,b)$ are log-convex in both p and q.*

In 2003, Neuman and Sándor [18] showed this property is also true for Gini means $G_{p,q}(a,b)$. Four years later Yang [42] presented an unified treatment for log-convexity of the two-parameter homogeneous functions $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ in either

p or q, and obtained the Qi's and Neuman and Sándor's results again. In 2008, this unified treatment was applied to the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ and obtained the following

Theorem Y ([43, Theorem 2.3])**.** *If $r+s>(<)0$, then $F(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are strictly log-concave (log-convex) in either p or q on $(0,\infty)$ and log-convex (log-concave) on $(-\infty,0)$.*

In 2010 Yang [45] further proved that for fixed $q\in\mathbb{R}$ the two-parameter symmetric homogeneous function $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ is strictly log-convex (log-concave) in p on $(\frac{|q|-q}{2},\infty)$ and log-concave (log-convex) on $(-\infty,-\frac{|q|+q}{2})$ if $\mathcal{J}=(x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x<(>)0$, where $\mathcal{I}=(\ln f)_{xy}$. This improved the Qi's and Neuman and Sándor's results.

It might be because of complexity, up to now the research for log-convexity of the two-parameter means in parameters has only been limited to the univariate cases. In other words, that research for bivariate log-convexities of the two-parameter means in parameter pair remains a blank.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the bivariate log-convexity of the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ in a parameter pair (p,q). Our approach is to use the well properties of homogeneous functions and an integral representation for the two-parameter homogeneous functions $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ to obtain an unified discriminants for the bivariate log-convexity in parameter pair (p,q). From this the problem for bivariate log-convexity of the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ with respect to a parameter pair is successfully solved. As special cases, the bivariate log-convexity of the Stolarsky means, Gini means, two-parameter identric (exponential) means and two-parameter Heronian means with respect to parameters easily follows.

Additionally, we also investigate a special two-parameter homogeneous function but not a mean passingly, whose bivariate log-convexity can generate some interesting and useful inverse inequalities for Stolarsky and Gini means.

2. Bivariate Log-convexity of Two-parameter Homogeneous Functions

In this section, we will deal with bivariate log-convexity of two-parameter homogeneous function generated by f with respect to parameters. For this end, we first recall definition of two-parameter homogeneous function generated by f as follows.

Definition 2.1. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+^2\backslash\{(x,x),x\in\mathbb{R}_+\}\to\mathbb{R}_+$ be a homogeneous, continuous function and has first partial derivatives. Then the function $\mathcal{H}_f:\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_+^2\to\mathbb{R}_+$ is called a homogeneous function generated by f with parameters p and q if $\mathcal{H}_f$ is defined by for $a\neq b$*

$$\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b) = \left(\frac{f(a^p,b^p)}{f(a^q,b^q)}\right)^{1(p-q)} \quad \text{if } pq(p-q)\neq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_f(p,p;a,b) = \exp\left(\frac{a^p f_x(a^p,b^p)\ln a+b^p f_y(a^p,b^p)\ln b}{f(a^p,b^p)}\right) \quad \text{if } p=q\neq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $f_x(x,y)$ and $f_y(x,y)$ denote first-order partial derivatives with respect to first and second component of $f(x,y)$, respectively; if $\lim\limits_{y\to x}f(x,y)$ exits and is positive for

all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then further define

$$\mathcal{H}_f(p,0;a,b) = \left(\frac{f(a^p,b^p)}{f(1,1)}\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } p \neq 0, q = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_f(0,q;a,b) = \left(\frac{f(a^q,b^q)}{f(1,1)}\right)^{1/q} \quad \text{if } p = 0, q \neq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_f(0,0;a,b) = a^{\frac{f_x(1,1)}{f(1,1)}} b^{\frac{f_y(1,1)}{f(1,1)}} \quad \text{if } p = q = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

and, $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,a) = a$.

Remark 2.1. *Witkowski* [37] *proved that if $f(x,y)$ is a symmetric and 1-order homogeneous function, then for all p,q $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ is a mean of positive numbers x and y if and only if $f(x,y)$ is increasing in both variables on $\mathbb{R}_+$. In fact, it is easy to see that the condition "$f(x,y)$ is symmetry" can be removed.*

For simpleness, $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q)$ or $\mathcal{H}_f(a,b)$.

From the published relative literatures, it is complex and difficult to investigate the monotonicity and log-convexity of $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ for a concrete function f such as $f = L, A, I$. But it becomes to be simple and easy by using well properties of homogeneous function, which have played an important role in [41], [42]. We will show these properties is still useful and efficient in the study of bivariate log-convexity of $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ with respect to parameters.

Lemma 2.1 ([42, Lemma 3, 4])**.** *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \backslash \{(x,x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a homogenous and three-time differentiable function. Denote by $T(t) := \ln f(a^t, b^t)$ $(t \neq 0)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} T'(t) &= \frac{a^t f_x(a^t,b^t)\ln a + b^t f_y(a^t,b^t)\ln b}{f(a^t,b^t)} \\ T''(t) &= -xy\mathcal{I}\ln^2(b/a), \text{ where } \mathcal{I} = (\ln f)_{xy}, \\ T'''(t) &= -Ct^{-3}\mathcal{J}, \text{ where } \mathcal{J} = (x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x, C = \frac{xy\ln^3(x/y)}{x-y} > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $x = a^t$, $y = b^t$.

Remark 2.2. *It follows from (2.6) that*

$$\operatorname{sgn}(T'''(t)) = -\operatorname{sgn}(t)\operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{J}). \tag{2.7}$$

The following property is also crucial in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 2.2 ([42, Property 4])**.** *If $T'(t)$ is continuous on $[q,p]$ or $[p,q]$. Then $\ln\mathcal{H}_f(p,q)$ can be expressed in integral form as*

$$\ln\mathcal{H}_f(p,q) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{p-q}\int_q^p T'(t)dt & \text{if } p \neq q \\ T'(q) & \text{if } p = q \end{cases} = \int_0^1 T'(tp+(1-t)q)dt. \tag{2.8}$$

We now recall the definition and criterion theorem of bivariate convex (concave) functions.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex domain and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then a bivariate function $\Phi : \Omega \to E$ is said to be convex (concave) on Ω if for any pairs $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \Omega$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ the following inequality*

$$\Phi(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2) \leq (\geq)\alpha\Phi(x_1, y_1) + \beta\Phi(x_2, y_2) \tag{2.9}$$

holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open domain and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and the bivariate function $\Phi : \Omega \to E$ is two-time differentiable. Then $\Phi(x, y)$ is strictly convex (concave) over Ω if and only if both the following inequalities*

$$\Phi_{xx} > (<)0 \quad \text{and } \Delta = \Phi_{xx}\Phi_{yy} - \Phi_{xy}^2 > 0 \tag{2.10}$$

hold.

Next we are ready to give and prove criterion theorem for the bivariate log-convexity of two-parameter homogeneous functions with respect to parameter pair.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \backslash \{(x, x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a homogenous and three-time differentiable function. If*

$$\mathcal{J} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0, \text{ where } \mathcal{I} = (\ln f)_{xy},$$

then the bivariate function $(p, q) \to \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)$ is strictly log-convex (log-concave) on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and log-concave (log-convex) on $\mathbb{R}_-^2$.

Proof. It suffices to verify (2.10) holds.

(1) When $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$. It is obvious to (2.8) be true. Two times partial derivative calculations for (2.8) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial p^2} &= \int_0^1 t^2 T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt, \\
\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial q^2} &= \int_0^1 (1 - t)^2 T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt, \\
\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial p \partial q} &= \int_0^1 t(1 - t)T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ we see $T'''(tp + (1 - t)q) > (<)0$ if $\mathcal{J} = (x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0$, it follows that $\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p,q)}{\partial p^2} = \int_0^1 t^2 T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt > (<)0$.

On the other hand, using Cauch-Scharz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial p^2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial q^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)}{\partial p \partial q} \right)^2 \\
&= \int_0^1 t^2 T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt \int_0^1 (1 - t)^2 T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt \\
&\quad - \left(\int_0^1 t(1 - t)T'''(tp + (1 - t)q)dt \right)^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

With equality if and only if $t = k(1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, where k is a constant. But this is obviously impossible, which means the above inequality is strict.

Applying Theorem 2.1, it follows that bivariate function $(p, q) \to \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p, q)$ is strictly convex (concave) on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ if $\mathcal{J} = (x - y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0$, which is exactly our result required.

(2) When $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2_-$. By (2.7) we see $T'''(tp+(1-t)q) < (>)0$ for $t \in [0,1]$ if $\mathcal{J} = (x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0$. It follows that $\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p,q)}{\partial p^2} < (>)0$. Also, $\Delta \geq 0$ is still valid and strict. From Theorem 2.1 it follows that bivariate function $(p,q) \to \ln \mathcal{H}_f(p,q)$ is strictly concave (convex) on $\mathbb{R}^2_-$ if $\mathcal{J} = (x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0$.

This completes the proof. □

3. Main Results

Equipped with Theorem 2.2, we are in a position to solve the problem for bivariate log-convexity of the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ in a parameter pair (p,q).

Theorem 3.1. *For fixed $(r,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, the more extended means $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are strictly bivariate log-concave (log-convex) on $[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$ and strictly bivariate log-convex (log-concave) on $(-\infty,0]\times(-\infty,0]$ with respect to (p,q) if $r+s > (<)0$.*

Proof. It follows from [43, Proof of Theorem 2.3] that $\mathcal{J} = (x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x < (>)0$ if $r+s < (>)0$ for $f = S_{r,s}(x,y)$. Applying Theorem 2.2 and noting that clearly $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ are continuous at $(p,q) = (c_1,0),(0,c_2),(0,0)$, $c_1c_2 \neq 0$, our desired result follows. □

With $(r,s) = (1,0),(2,1),(1,1),(3/2,1/2)$, the following corollary are immediate.

Corollary 3.1. *Stolarsky means $S_{p,q}(a,b)$, Gini means $G_{p,q}(a,b)$, two-parameter identric (exponential) means $I_{p,q}(a,b)$ and two-parameter Heronian means $He_{p,q}(a,b)$ are all strictly bivariate log-concave on $[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$ and strictly bivariate log-convex on $(-\infty,0]\times(-\infty,0]$ with respect to (p,q).*

4. Applications

Many classical and new inequalities for bivariate means can easily follow from Theorem 3.1. For simpleness and convenience of statements in the sequel, we denote $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q;r,s;a,b)$ by $\boldsymbol{F}(p,q)$, and note that for $pr-ps \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}(p,2p) &= \left(\frac{a^{pr}+b^{pr}}{a^{ps}+b^{ps}}\right)^{1/(pr-ps)} = G_{pr,ps}(a,b),\\
\mathbf{F}(p,0) &= \left(\frac{L(a^{pr},b^{pr})}{L(a^{ps},b^{ps})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(r-s)}} = S_{pr,ps}(a,b),\\
\boldsymbol{F}(p,p) &= \left(\frac{I(a^{pr},b^{pr})}{I(a^{ps},b^{ps})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(r-s)}} = I_{pr,ps}(a,b),\\
\mathbf{F}(p/2,3p/2) &= \left(\frac{a^{pr}+(\sqrt{ab})^{pr}+b^{pr}}{a^{ps}+(\sqrt{ab})^{ps}+b^{ps}}\right)^{1/(pr-ps)} = He_{pr,ps}(a,b);
\end{aligned}$$

for $pr-ps \neq 0$ they are defined as corresponding limits.

The following are some practical examples of Theorem 3.1.

Application 4.1. ***Some generalizations of classical inequalities for means.***

- ***Generalized Lin Inequality***. *From bivariate log-convexity of Stolarsky means it follows that* $\sqrt{\mathbf{F}(1/3,2/3)\mathbf{F}(1,0)}\leq\mathbf{F}(2/3,1/3)$*, simplifying yields* $\mathbf{F}(1,0)\leq\mathbf{F}(1/3,2/3)$*, that is,*

$$S_{r,s}(a,b)\leq G_{r/3,s/3}(a,b). \tag{4.1}$$

Putting $(r,s)=(1,0)$*,* $(1,1)$ *yield* $L(a,b)\leq A_{1/3}(a,b)$*,* $I(a,b)\leq Z_{1/3}(a,b)$*. In which the former is Lin inequality* [14, Theorem 1]*, the latter was proved first by Yang* [42, (5.16)]*.*

- ***Generalized Jia-Cao Inequality.*** *Similarly,* $\mathbf{F}^{2/3}(1,0)\mathbf{F}^{1/3}(1/4,3/4)\leq\mathbf{F}(3/4,1/4)$ *yields* $\mathbf{F}(1,0)\leq\mathbf{F}(3/4,1/4)$*, that is,*

$$S_{r,s}(a,b)\leq He_{r/2,s/2}(a,b). \tag{4.2}$$

Putting $(r,s)=(1,0)$ *yields* $L(a,b)\leq He_{1/2}(a,b)$*, which is Jia-Cao inequality* [11, Theorem 1.1]*.*

- ***Generalized Sándor Inequality*** [33]*: From* $\sqrt{\mathbf{F}(2,0)\mathbf{F}(0,2)}\leq\mathbf{F}(1,1)$ *it follows that* $\mathbf{F}(1,1)\geq\mathbf{F}(2,0)$*, that is,*

$$I_{r,s}(a,b)\geq S_{2r,2s}(a,b). \tag{4.3}$$

Putting $(r,s)=(1,0)$*,* $(1,1)$*,* $(2,1)$ *yield* $I(a,b)\geq L_2(a,b)$*,* $Y(a,b)\geq I_2(a,b)$*,* $Z(a,b)\geq A_2(a,b)$*, here we have used the formula* $I(a^2,b^2)/I(a,b)=Z(a,b)$ *(see* [32]*,* [41]*). In which the first and the third inequality due to Sándor* [31]*,* [33]*, the second one was presented by Yang* [42, (5.12)]**.**

Application 4.2. ***Some new inequalities for two-parameter means.***

- ***New inequality 1.*** *The bivariate log-convexity of Stolarsky means yields* $\mathbf{F}^{3/4}(2/3,1/3)\mathbf{F}^{1/4}(1,0)\leq\mathbf{F}(3/4,1/4)$*, it follows that*

$$\mathbf{F}(1,0)\leq\mathbf{F}^{4}(3/4,1/4)\mathbf{F}^{-3}(2/3,1/3),$$

that is,

$$S_{r,s}(a,b)\leq He^{4}_{r/2,s/2}(a,b)G^{-3}_{r/3,s/3}(a,b). \tag{4.4}$$

Putting $(r,s)=(1,0)$ *yields* $L(a,b)\leq He^{4}_{1/2}(a,b)A^{-3}_{1/3}(a,b)$ *given in* [45, (4.5)]*, which is obviously superior to Lin and Jia-Cao inequality because* $L\leq He_{1/2}\leq A_{1/3}$*, .*

- ***New inequality 2.*** *From* $\mathbf{F}^{1/5}(1,1)\mathbf{F}^{4/5}(3/4,1/4)\leq\mathbf{F}(4/5,2/5)$ *it follows that* $\mathbf{F}(1,1)\leq\mathbf{F}^{5}(4/5,2/5)\mathbf{F}^{-4}(3/4,1/4)$*, which yields,*

$$I_{r,s}(a,b)\leq G^{5}_{2r/5,2s/5}(a,b)He^{-4}_{r/2,s/2}(a,b). \tag{4.5}$$

Putting $(r,s)=(1,0)$*,* $(2,1)$ *yield* $I(a,b)\leq A^{5}_{2/5}(a,b)He^{-4}_{1/2}(a,b)$*,* $Z(a,b)\leq G^{5}_{4/5,2/5}(a,b)He^{-4}_{1,1/2}(a,b)$*, here we have used the formula* $I(a^2,b^2)/I(a,b)=Z(a,b)$ *again.*

Lastly, We close this paper by giving a result for bivariate log-convexity of the two-parameter homogeneous function of difference [41] and illustrating its applications.

Substituting $f(x,y)=D(x,y)=|x-y|$ $(x,y>0$ with $x\neq y)$ into the two-parameter homogeneous function $\mathcal{H}_f(p,q;a,b)$ leads to

$$\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b):=\begin{cases}\left|\dfrac{a^p-b^p}{a^q-b^q}\right|^{1/(p-q)} & \text{if } p\neq q,\\ e^{1/p}I^{1/p}(a^p,b^p) & \text{if } p=q,\end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

which is called two-parameter homogeneous function of difference.

For $\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b)$, we have shown $\mathcal{J}=(x-y)(x\mathcal{I})_x<0$, where $\mathcal{I}=(\ln\mathcal{D})_{xy}$, in [42, pp. 510] and by Theorem 2.2 we obtain

Theorem 4.1. *$\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b)$ is strictly bivariate log-convex on $(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)$ and bivariate log-convex on $(-\infty,0)\times(-\infty,0)$ with respect to (p,q).*

By Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we have the following.

Corollary 4.1. *For any (a,b), $(p_1,q_1),(p_2,q_2)\in\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $\alpha,\beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$, the following double inequalities*

$$1\le\frac{S_{\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}(a,b)}{S^{\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(a,b)S^{\beta}_{p_2,q_2}(a,b)}\le e^{\frac{\alpha}{L(p_1,q_1)}+\frac{\beta}{L(p_2,q_2)}-\frac{1}{L(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2)}} \tag{4.7}$$

hold.

Proof. Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 yield

$$S^{\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(a,b)S^{\beta}_{p_2,q_2}(a,b)\le S_{\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}(a,b), \tag{4.8}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_D(p_1,q_1;a,b)\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_D(p_2,q_2;a,b)\ge\mathcal{H}_D(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2;a,b), \tag{4.9}$$

respectively. (4.8) implies that the first inequality of (4.7). To obtain the second one, note $\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b)=(p/q)^{1/(p-q)}S_{p,q}(a,b)=e^{1/L(p,q)}S_{p,q}(a,b)$ $(p,q>0)$ and substitute into (4.9), thus (4.9) can be written as

$$e^{\frac{\alpha}{L(p_1,q_1)}+\frac{\beta}{L(p_2,q_2)}}S^{\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(a,b)S^{\beta}_{p_2,q_2}(a,b)\ge e^{\frac{1}{L(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2)}}S_{\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}(a,b),$$

which is equivalent to the second one of (4.7).

The proof is complete. □

For Gini means, we also have similar result.

Corollary 4.2. *For any (a,b), $(p_1,q_1),(p_2,q_2)\in\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $\alpha,\beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$, the following double inequality*

$$1\le\frac{G_{\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}(a,b)}{G^{\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(a,b)G^{\beta}_{p_2,q_2}(a,b)}\le e^{\frac{\alpha}{L(p_1,q_1)}+\frac{\beta}{L(p_2,q_2)}-\frac{1}{L(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2)}} \tag{4.10}$$

holds.

Proof. The first inequality follows from bivariate log-convexity of Gini means, that is, Corollary 3.1. It remains to prove the second one. Form Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_D(2p_1,2q_1;a,b)\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_D(2p_2,2q_2;a,b)\ge\mathcal{H}_D(2\alpha p_1+2\beta p_2,2\alpha q_1+2\beta q_2;a,b).$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b)G_{p,q}(a,b)=\mathcal{H}^2_D(2p,2q;a,b)$, which is applied to the above inequality and a simple equivalent transformation lead to

$$\frac{G_{\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}(a,b)}{G^{\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(a,b)G^{\beta}_{p_2,q_2}(a,b)}\le\frac{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_D(p_1,q_1;a,b)\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_D(p_2,q_2;a,b)}{\mathcal{H}_D(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2;a,b)}.$$

Using relation $\mathcal{H}_D(p,q;a,b)=e^{1/L(p,q)}S_{p,q}(a,b)$ $(p,q>0)$ mentioned previous again and the first inequality of (4.7), the right side of the above inequality is lees than or equal to

$$e^{\frac{\alpha}{L(p_1,q_1)}+\frac{\beta}{L(p_2,q_2)}-\frac{1}{L(\alpha p_1+\beta p_2,\alpha q_1+\beta q_2)}}.$$

The proof ends. □

From Corollary 4.1, 4.2, we can easily estimate the upper and lower bounds of the ratio of means. Some of which are contained in the following.

Application 4.3. ***Some classical double estimation inequalities.***

- ***Stolarsky-Yang Inequality*** [35, (1.6)]**,** [42, Remark 9].
  Putting $(p_1, q_1) = (4/3, 2/3), (p_2, q_2) = (2/3, 4/3), (\alpha, \beta) = (1/2, 1/2)$ *in (4.7) and simplifying yield*

$$1 \leq I/A_{2/3} \leq \sqrt{8}e^{-1} \approx 1.0405. \tag{4.11}$$

- ***Sándor-Yang Inequality*** [32]*,* [42, Remark 9]**.**
  Putting $(p_1, q_1) = (1/2, 3/2), (p_2, q_2) = (3/2, 1/2), (\alpha, \beta) = (1/6, 5/6)$ *in (4.7) and simplifying yield*

$$1 \leq A_{2/3}/He \leq 3/\sqrt{8} \approx 1.0607. \tag{4.12}$$

Application 4.4. ***Some new double estimation inequalities****.*

- ***New double estimation inequalities 1.*** *Putting* $(p_1, q_1) = (1, 1), (p_2, q_2) = (3/2, 1/2), (\alpha, \beta) = (1/3, 2/3)$ *in (4.7) and simplifying and some simple equivalent transformations yield*

$$0.9249 \approx 16\sqrt{2}e^{-1}/9 \leq I/A_{2/3}^3 He^{-2} \leq 1. \tag{4.13}$$

- ***New double estimation inequalities 2.*** *Putting* $(p_1, q_1) = (6/5, 6/5), (p_2, q_2) = (4/5, 4/5), (\alpha, \beta) = (1/2, 1/2)$ *in (4.7), (4.10) and simplifying yield*

$$1 \leq I/\sqrt{I_{6/5}I_{4/5}} \leq e^{1/24} \approx 1.0425, \tag{4.14}$$

$$1 \leq Z/\sqrt{Z_{6/5}Z_{4/5}} \leq e^{1/24} \approx 1.0425. \tag{4.15}$$

- ***New double estimation inequalities 3.*** *Putting* $(p_1, q_1) = (1/2, 3/2), (p_2, q_2) = (3/2, 1/2), (\alpha, \beta) = (1/2, 1/2)$ *in (4.10) and simplifying yield*

$$1 \leq Z/(2A - G) \leq 3e^{-1} \approx 1.1036. \tag{4.16}$$

Additionally, many other unknown and interesting inequalities for means can be derived from theorems and corollaries in this section and no longer list here.

References

[1] J. L. Brenner, A unified treatment and extension of some means of classical analysis. I. Comparison theorems, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.*, **3**(1978), 175-199.

[2] F. Burk, By all means, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **92**(1985), 50.

[3] B.C. Carlson, The logarithmic mean, *Amer.Math.Monthly*, **79**(1972), 615-618.

[4] W.-S. Cheung AND F. Qi, Logarithmic convexity of the one-parameter mean values, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **11**(2007), no. 1, 231-237; available online at `http://www.math.nthu.edu.tw/tjm/`.

[5] P. Czinder AND Zs. Páles, An extension of the Hermite-Hadmard inequality and an application for Gini and stolarsky means, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5**(2004), no. 2, Art. 42; available online at `http://jipam.vu.edu.au/images/167_03_JIPAM/167_03.pdf`.

[6] P. Czinder AND Zs. Páles, Some comparison inequalities for Gini and Stolarsky means, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **9**(2006), no. 4, 607–616.

[7] E. L. Dodd, Some generalizations of the logarithmic mean and of similar means of two variates which become indeterminate when the two variates are equal, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **12**(1971), 422-428.

[8] D. Farnsworth AND R. Orr, Gini means, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93**(1986), no. 8, 603–607.

[9] C. Gini, Diuna formula comprensiva delle media, *Metron*, **13**(1938), 3–22.

[10] Bai-Ni Guo, Shi-Qin Zhang AND Feng Qi, Elementary proofs of monotonicity for extended mean values of some functions with two parameters, *Mathematics in Practice and Theory,* **29**(1999), no. 2, 169-174. (Chinese)
[11] G. Jia AND J.-D. Cao, A new upper bound of the logarithmic mean, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.,* **4**(2003), no. 4, Art. 80; Available online at `http://jipam.vu.edu.au/images/088_03/088_03.pdf`.
[12] E. B. Leach AND M. C. Sholander, Extended mean values, *Amer. Math. Monthly,* **85**(1978), 84-90.
[13] E. B. Leach AND M. C. Sholander, Extended mean values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* , **92**(1983), 207-223.
[14] T.-P. Lin, The power mean and the logarithmic mean, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **81**(1974), 879–883.
[15] L. Losonczi AND Zs. Páles, Minkowki's inequality for two variable Gini means, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged,* **62**(1996), 413-425.
[16] L. Losonczi AND Zs. Páles, Minkowki's inequality for two variable difference means, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(1998), 779-791.
[17] L. Losonczi, On the Comparison of Cauchy Mean Values, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **7**(2002), no. 1, 11-24.
[18] E. Neuman AND J. Sándor, Inequalities involving Stolarsky and Gini means, *Math. Pannonica*, **14**(2003), no. 1, 29–44.
[19] E. Neuman AND Zs. Páles, On comparison of Stolarsky and Gini means, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **278**(2003), no. 2, 274-284.
[20] Zs. Páles, Inequalities for sums of powers, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131**(1988), 265-270.
[21] Zs. Páles, Inequalities for differences of powers, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131**(1988), 271-281.
[22] Zs. Páles, On comparison of homogeneous means, *Ann. Univ. Sci.*, **32**(1989), 261-266.
[23] Zs. Páles, Comparison of two variables homogeneous means, *Inter. Ser. Num. Math.*, **103**(1992), 59-70.
[24] F. Qi AND S. -L. Xu, Refinements and extensions of an inequality, II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **211**(1997), 616-620.
[25] F. Qi AND S.-L Xu, The function $(b^x - a^x)/x$: Inequalities and properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(1998), no. 11, 3355-3359.
[26] F. Qi AND Q.-M. Luo, A simple proof of monotonicity for extended mean values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **224**(1998), no. 2, 356-359.
[27] F. Qi AND Q.-M. Luo, Refinements and extensions of an inequality, *Mathematics and Informatics Quarterly,* **9**(1999), no. 1, 23-25.
[28] F. Qi, S.-L. Xu AND Lokenath Debnath, A new proof of monotonicity for extended mean values, *Intern. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **22**(1999), no. 2, 415-420.
[29] F. Qi, J.-Q. Mei, D.-F. Xia AND S.-L. Xu, New proofs of weighted power mean inequalities and monotonicity for generalized weighted mean values, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **3**(2000), no. 3, 377-383.
[30] F. Qi , Logarithmic convexities of the Extended Mean values, *Pro. Ame. Math. Soc.*, **130**(2002), no. 6, 1787–1796.
[31] J. Sándor, On the identric and logarithmic means, *Aequat. Math.*, **40**(1990), 261–270.
[32] J. Sándor, On certain identities for means. *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolayi Math.*, **38**(1993), 7–14.
[33] J. Sándor AND I. Rasa, Inequalities for certain means in two arguments, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.*, **15**(1997), 51–55.
[34] K. B. Stolarsky, Generalizations of the Logarithmic Mean, *Math. Mag.*, **48**(1975), 87-92.
[35] K. B. Stolarsky, The power and generalized logarithmic means, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **87**, (1980), 545–548.
[36] A. Witkowski, Comparison theorem for two-parameter means, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **12**(2009), no. 1, 11-20.
[37] A. Witkowski, On two- and four-parameter families, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **12**(2009), no. 1 Art. 3; available online at `http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/RGMIA/v12n1.asp`.
[38] Zh.-H. Yang, Simple discriminances of convexity of homogeneous functions and applications, *Gāodĕng Shùxué Yánjiū (Study in College Mathematics)*, **4**(2004), no. 7, 14-19. (Chinese)
[39] Zh.-H. Yang, Hölder, Chebyshev and Minkowski Type Inequalities for Stolarsky Means, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **8**(2005), no. 4 Art. 17; available online at `http://rgmia.org/v8n4.php`.

[40] Zh.-H. Yang, On the Monotonicity and Log-Convexity of a Four-Parameter Homogeneous Mean, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **8**(2005), no. 3, Art. 8, 2005; available online at http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v8n3.asp.

[41] Zh.-H. Yang, ON the homogeneous functions with two parameters and its monotonicity, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **6**(2005), no. 4, Art. 101; available online at http://jipam.vu.edu.au/images/155_05_JIPAM/155_05.pdf.

[42] Zh.-H. Yang, ON the log-convexity of two-parameter homogeneous functions, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **10**(2007), no. 3, 499-516; available online at http://files.ele-math.com/articles/mia-10-47.pdf.

[43] Zh.-H. Yang, On the monotonicity and log-convexity of a four-parameter homogeneous mean, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 2008, Art. ID 149286, 12 pages, 2008. doi:10.1155/2008/149286; available online at http://www.hindawi.com/GetArticle.aspx?doi=10.1155/2008/149286.

[44] Zh.-H. Yang, Hölder, Chebyshev and Minkowski Type Inequalities for Stolarsky Means, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **4**(2010), no. 34, 1687-1696; available online at http://www.m-hikari.com/ijma/ijma-2010/ijma-33-36-2010/yangIJMA33-36-2010.pdf.

[45] Zh.-H. Yang, Log-convexity of ratio of the two-parameter symmetric homogeneous functions and an application, *J. Inequal. Spec. Func.*, **1**(2010), no. 1, 16-29; available online at http://www.ilirias.com.

System Division, Zhejiang Province Electric Power Test and Research Institute, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, 310014

E-mail address: yzhkm@163.com